

FILE COPY

Draftsperson Randolph informed applicant that the requirements in some international patent offices for drawings may be different from the requirements of the U.S. Patent Office and that the

primary reason why Draftsperson Randolph objected to Figure 1 was because the copy of Figure 1 that Draftsperson Randolph received contained excessive copy machine markings.

Draftsperson Randolph, however, suggestion that if applicant has a clean copy of Figure 1 in the applicant's possession, that the applicant should submit the clean copy to the Draftsperson for approval.


Per the suggestion of Draftsperson Randolph, enclosed is a clean copy of Figure 1 of the applicant's drawings for the above-identified application. Please substitute the enclosed drawing of Figures 1 for the corresponding drawing of Figure 1 on file.

A clean copy of Figure 1 of the applicant's drawings has also been send to the Examiner. Please charge any comparison fees to deposit account 10-0210.

Respectfully submitted,

JACOBSON AND JOHNSON

By



Carl L. Johnson, Reg. No. 24,273
Attorneys for Applicant
Suite 285
One West Water Street
St. Paul, Minnesota 55107-2080
Telephone: 651-222-3775
Fax: 651-222-37765

CLJ/cj
Enclosure

1/2




Figure 1

BEST AVAILABLE COPY